

CANVASS OF VOTES CAST

AT THE

GENERAL ELECTION

HELD ON

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2002

AS CANVASSED BY THE TUSCOLA COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS

OF TUSCOLA COUNTY, MICHIGAN

**MARGIE WHITE-CORMIER
TUSCOLA COUNTY CLERK**

STATEMENT OF VOTES GENERAL ELECTION, NOVEMBER 5, 2002
TUSCOLA COUNTY PARTISAN CANDIDATES

THE WHOLE NUMBER OF VOTES GIVEN FOR THE OFFICE OF
District # 1 Commissioner
FOR THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSONS

Edward C. Scollon RECEIVED 2,059 VOTES
John W. Hunter RECEIVED 1,689 VOTES
RECEIVED _____ VOTES
Three Thousand Forty-Eight TOTAL 3,748 VOTES

THE WHOLE NUMBER OF VOTES GIVEN FOR THE OFFICE OF
District # 2 Commissioner
FOR THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSONS

Susan A. Osborne RECEIVED 1877 VOTES
Judy R. Bobcock RECEIVED 2045 VOTES
RECEIVED _____ VOTES
Three Thousand Twenty-Two TOTAL 3922 VOTES

THE WHOLE NUMBER OF VOTES GIVEN FOR THE OFFICE OF
District # 3 Commissioner
FOR THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSONS

Donald P. McLane RECEIVED 1953 VOTES
David W. Lech RECEIVED 1705 VOTES
RECEIVED _____ VOTES
Three Thousand Six Fifty Eight TOTAL 3658 VOTES

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, WE HAVE HEREUNTO SET OUR
HANDS AND AFFIXED THE SEAL OF THE CIRCUIT
COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF TUSCOLA THIS 14 DAY OF
NOVEMBER, 2002.

Bernard E. Nelson

[Signature]

Margaret A. Weeks
CHAIRPERSON OF BOARD OF COUNTY CANVASSERS

ATTEST: Margie White-Cormier
MARGIE WHITE-CORMIER, CLERK OF BOARD OF COUNTY CANVASSERS

**STATEMENT OF VOTES GENERAL ELECTION, NOVEMBER 5, 2002
TUSCOLA COUNTY PARTISAN CANDIDATES**

THE WHOLE NUMBER OF VOTES GIVEN FOR THE OFFICE OF
District # 4 Commissioner
FOR THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSONS

Roy Petzold RECEIVED 2188 VOTES
 _____ RECEIVED _____ VOTES
 _____ RECEIVED _____ VOTES
Two Thousand One Eighty-Eight TOTAL 2188 VOTES

THE WHOLE NUMBER OF VOTES GIVEN FOR THE OFFICE OF
District # 5 Commissioner
FOR THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSONS

Herald C. Peterson RECEIVED 2305 VOTES
Don Respecki RECEIVED 1583 VOTES
 _____ RECEIVED _____ VOTES
Three Thousand Eight Eighty-Eight TOTAL 3888 VOTES

THE WHOLE NUMBER OF VOTES GIVEN FOR THE OFFICE OF

FOR THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSONS

_____ RECEIVED _____ VOTES
 _____ RECEIVED _____ VOTES
 _____ RECEIVED _____ VOTES
 _____ TOTAL _____ VOTES

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, WE HAVE HEREUNTO SET OUR
HANDS AND AND AFFIXED THE SEAL OF THE CIRCUIT
COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF TUSCOLA THIS 14th DAY OF
NOVEMBER, 2002.

Dennis Johnson

Margaret A. Wentz

Margaret A. Wentz
CHAIRPERSON OF BOARD OF COUNTY CANVASSERS

ATTEST: Margie White-Cormier
MARGIE WHITE-CORMIER, CLERK OF BOARD OF COUNTY CANVASSERS

CERTIFICATE OF DETERMINATION GENERAL ELECTION NOV 5, 2002

ON THE STATE PROPOSAL "02-1", ELIMINATE STRAIGHT PARTY VOTE, THAT NO RECEIVED
yes or no
THE LARGEST NUMBER OF VOTES AND IT IS DECLARED THAT SAID PROPOSITION did not
CARRY IN THIS COUNTY. did or did not

ON THE STATE PROPOSAL "02-2" , BONDS FOR SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS PROJECTS, THAT
NO RECEIVED THE LARGEST NUMBER OF VOTES AND IT IS DECLARED THAT SAID
yes or no
PROPOSITION did not CARRY IN THIS COUNTY.
did or did not

ON THE STATE PROPOSAL "02-3", COLLECTIVE BARGAINING, THAT NO RECEIVED THE
yes or no
LARGEST NUMBER OF VOTES AND IT IS DECLARED THAT SAID PROPOSITION did not CARRY
IN THIS COUNTY. did or did not

ON THE STATE PROPOSAL, "02-4", TOBACCO SETTLEMENT REVENUE, THAT NO RECEIVED
yes or no
THE LARGEST NUMBER OF VOTES AND IT IS DECLARED THAT SAID PROPOSITION Did Not
CARRY IN THIS COUNTY. did or did not

SEAL

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, WE HAVE HEREUNTO
SET OUR HANDS AND AFFIXED THE SEAL
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF
TUSCOLA THIS 14 DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2002.

Bernard E. Allen
or
W. White

Margaret A. Wentz
CHAIRPERSON OF BOARD OF COUNTY CANVASSERS

ATTEST: Marge Hunt-Cornier
CLERK OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY CANVASSERS

TUSCOLA COUNTY CERTIFICATE OF ELECTIONS

The statements of votes polled in the 32 voting precincts of the County of Tuscola at the General Election held on November 5, 2002 and filed with the County Clerk and this Board, having duly examined and considered the statements, the whole number of votes given for and against the proposition reading as follows:

PROPOSAL T

PUBLIC SAFETY, ECONOMIC AND AGRICULTURAL SERVICES

For the years 2002 through 2009, shall the total taxable property rate limitation in Tuscola County be increased by 9 tenths of one mil (90 cents for each \$1,000 of taxable valuation) for the operating of the sheriff road patrol, emergency management services (all hazards), animal control, illegal drug enforcement, marine safety patrol, alcohol enforcement, economic job development, airport authority, Michigan State University extension, 4-H programs, soil conservation, parks and county planning programs? This millage raises an estimated \$997,400 in the first calendar year after its approval.

THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY:

This millage would assist in maintaining the current level of service provided by the County for various police, public safety, economic and agricultural operations listed above.

Were as follows:

TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES FOR THE PROPOSITION:

Eighty Nine and Seven (8907)

TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES AGAINST THE PROPOSITION:

Nine Thousand Six Hundred (9600)

TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST: Eighteen Thousand and Seven (18507)

THE PROPOSITION IS DECLARED TO HAVE not Passed

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, WE HAVE HEREUNTO SET OUR HANDS AND CAUSED TO BE AFFIXED THE SEAL OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF TUSCOLA, THIS 14 DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2002.

BOARD OF CANVASSERS

Burned Edelson
[Signature]

SEAL

Margie White-Cormier
MARGIE WHITE-CORMIER, TUSCOLA COUNTY CLERK

Margaret A. Wentz

STATEMENT OF VOTES, GENERAL ELECTION
Tuesday, November 5, 2002


STATE OF MICHIGAN

COUNTY OF TUSCOLA

We Do Hereby Certify, that foregoing is a correct statement of votes given in this county for the offices named in such statement and for the persons designated therein, and other matters submitted at the General Election, held on November 5, 2002, as appears from the original statement on file in the Office of the County Clerk.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and affixed the Seal of the Circuit Court for the County of Tuscola this 14th day of November in the year two thousand.





Margaret A. Wentz
@HARRISON


County Clerk

Chairman of the Board of County Canvassers

STATEMENT OF VOTES, GENERAL ELECTION
Tuesday, November 5, 2002


STATE OF MICHIGAN,


COUNTY OF TUSCOLA

We Do Hereby Certify, that foregoing is a correct statement of votes given in this county for the offices named in such statement and for the persons designated therein, and other matters submitted, at the General Election, held of November 5, 2002, as appears from the original statement on file in the Office of the County Clerk.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and affixed the Seal of the Circuit Court for the County of Tuscola this 14 th day of November the year two thousand two.








County Clerk

Chairman of the Board of County Canvassers

The statements of votes polled in the Arbela township, at the General Election held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002, and filed with the County Clerk; and this Board, having duly examined and considered the statements, the whole number of votes given for and against the proposition as follows:

ARBELA TOWNSHIP ROAD REPAIR RENEWAL MILLAGE

This request is to renew the assessment passed in May, 1998, to provide funds for secondary road repair and reconstruction within Arbela Township.

“Shall the tax limitation on general ad valorem taxes which may be imposed under Article IX, Sec. 6 of the Michigan Constitution in any one (1) year upon the property in the Township of Arbela, in the amount of .9572 of a mill of the taxable valuation of all property in said Township, be renewed for a period of five (5) years, commencing in 2004 through 2009, inclusive, to provide funds for secondary road repair and reconstruction within the limits of the Township of Arbela? This proposal will raise in the first year of the levy an estimated sum of \$50,195.00 (.9572 cents for every \$1,000.00 of taxable value, and a like amount in subsequent years).”

were as follows:

Total number of votes cast one thousand seventy one (1071)

Total number of votes for the proposition five hundred eighty seven (587)

Total number of votes against the proposition four hundred eighty four (484)

THE QUESTION IS DECLARED TO HAVE Passed.

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF TUSCOLA**

We do hereby certify that the foregoing are correct statements of the votes cast in the township at the regular General Election held of Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and caused to be affixed the seal of the Circuit Court for the County of Tuscola, this 7th day of November, in the year 2002.

Donald E. Helm **BOARD**
 OF
 COUNTY
Margaret A. Wenta **CANVASSERS**

Margie White-Cormier
Margie White-Cormier
Tuscola County Clerk

**CANVASS OF VOTES CAST
AT THE
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002**

And canvassed by the Board of Canvassers

Of Columbia Township, Tuscola County, Michigan

Statement of Votes

The whole number of votes given for the office of **Township Clerk**

for a two-year term was Three Hundred and Eighty-Eight

and they were given for the following named persons:

Votes for Sally A. Zimmer Three hundred eighty Eight (388)

Votes for _____ ()

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF TUSCOLA**

We do hereby certify that the foregoing are correct statements of the votes cast in the township at the regular General Election held of Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and caused to be affixed the seal of the Circuit Court for the County of Tuscola, this 7th day of November, in the year 2002.

_____ **BOARD**
Bernard Edelman _____ **OF**
D. M. T. _____ **COUNTY**
Margaret A. Wente _____ **CANVASSERS**

Margie White-Cormier

Margie White-Cormier
Tuscola County Clerk

**CANVASS OF VOTES CAST
AT THE
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002**

And canvassed by the Board of Canvassers

Of Denmark Township, Tuscola County, Michigan

Statement of Votes

The whole number of votes given for the office of **Township Trustee**

for a two-year term was One Thousand Fourteen

and they were given for the following named persons:

Votes for Wayne R. Bierlein One Thousand Fourteen (1014)

Votes for _____ ()

The statements of votes polled in the Dayton township, at the General Election held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002, and filed with the County Clerk, and this Board, having duly examined and considered the statements, the whole number of votes given for and against the proposition as follows:

DAYTON TOWNSHIP

"Shall the limitation of the amount of property taxes which may be assessed each year against property within Dayton Township be increased by 3 mills (\$3.00 per \$1,000.00 dollars) on the state equalized valuation of taxable real and personal property situated in Dayton Township for a period of 5 years, from 2002 through 2006 inclusive, the said increase in millage to be used exclusively for improvement or paving of existing Township Roads?" (This levy will raise in the first year the estimated sum of \$116,723.00.)

were as follows:

Total number of votes cast Six hundred forty one (641)

Total number of votes for the proposition Two hundred (200)

Total number of votes against the proposition Four hundred forty one (441)

THE QUESTION IS DECLARED TO HAVE Failed.

The statements of votes polled in the Helford township, at the General Election held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002, and filed with the County Clerk, and this Board, having duly examined and considered the statements, the whole number of votes given for and against the proposition as follows:

GILFORD TOWNSHIP MILLAGE PROPOSAL

Shall the tax limitation on General Ad Valorem taxes within Gilford Township imposed under Article 9, Section 6 of the Michigan Constitution be increased for said Township by 1 mill (\$1.00 per \$1000.00 of taxable value) for the period of 2002 through 2006 inclusive for ROAD MAINTENANCE and IMPROVEMENTS; and shall the Township levy such increase in millage for said purpose, thereby, raising in the first year an estimated \$31,841.39.

were as follows:

Total number of votes cast two hundred seventy one (271)

Total number of votes for the proposition one hundred twenty three (123)

Total number of votes against the proposition One hundred forty eight (148)

THE QUESTION IS DECLARED TO HAVE Not Passed.

The statements of votes polled in the Gilford township, at the General Election held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002, and filed with the County Clerk, and this Board, having duly examined and considered the statements, the whole number of votes given for and against the proposition as follows:

GILFORD TOWNSHIP
Millage Proposal
(RENEWAL)

Shall the previous increase in the tax limitation imposed under Article 1X, Section 6 of the Michigan Constitution on General Ad Valorem taxes within Gilford Township be renewed at 1.00 mill (\$1.00 per \$1000.00 of taxable value) for the period of 2002 through 2006 inclusive for FIRE PROTECTION; and shall the Township levy such renewal in millage for said purpose, thereby, raising in the first year an estimated \$31,841.39.

were as follows:

Total number of votes cast Two Hundred Sixty - Seven (267)

Total number of votes
for the proposition One Hundred Fifty - Six (156)

Total number of votes
against the proposition One Hundred and Eleven (111)

THE QUESTION IS DECLARED TO HAVE Passed.

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF TUSCOLA**

We do hereby certify that the foregoing are correct statements of the votes cast in the township at the regular General Election held of Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and caused to be affixed the seal of the Circuit Court for the County of Tuscola, this 7th day of November, in the year 2002.

Dennard E. Lehman **BOARD**
Wright **OF**
Margaret A. Wentz **COUNTY**
Margaret A. Wentz **CANVASSERS**

Margie White-Cormier
Margie White-Cormier
Tuscola County Clerk

CANVASS OF VOTES CAST
AT THE
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002

And canvassed by the Board of Canvassers

Of Indianfields Township, Tuscola County, Michigan

Statement of Votes

The whole number of votes given for the office of Township Supervisor

for a two-year term was One Thousand eight hundred eighty three

and they were given for the following named persons:

Votes for Tom Nowland Nine hundred seventy two (972)

Votes for Ray Rendon Nine hundred eleven (911)

Votes for _____ ()

Votes for _____ ()

Votes for One thousand eight hundred eighty three ()
1883

**CANVASS OF VOTES CAST
AT THE
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002**

And canvassed by the Board of Canvassers

Of Novesta Township, Tuscola County, Michigan

Statement of Votes

The whole number of votes given for the office of **Township Trustee**

for a two-year term was Three Hundred and Fifty

and they were given for the following named persons:

Votes for Dale M. Churchill THREE Hundred and Fifty (350)

Votes for _____ ()

**CANVASS OF VOTES CAST
AT THE
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002**

And canvassed by the Board of Canvassers

Of **Watertown** Township, Tuscola County, Michigan

Statement of Votes

The whole number of votes given for the office of **Township Treasurer**

for a two-year term was Five Hundred Forty Seven

and they were given for the following named persons:

Votes for Patricia Frenzel Five hundred forty seven (547)

Votes for _____ ()

**CANVASS OF VOTES CAST
AT THE
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002**

**And canvassed by the Board of Canvassers
Of Wisner Township, Tuscola County, Michigan**

Statement of Votes

The whole number of votes given for the office of **Township Clerk**

for a two-year term was Two Hundred and Twenty-Three

and they were given for the following named persons:

Votes for George Rieck Two Hundred and Twenty-Three (223)

Votes for _____ ()

**CANVASS OF VOTES CAST
AT THE
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002**

**And canvassed by the Board of Canvassers
Of City of Vassar, Tuscola County, Michigan**

Statement of Votes

The whole number of votes given for the office of **Vassar City Council**

for a two-year term was One thousand and Twenty - Six

and they were given for the following named persons:

Votes for David C. Deland Five hundred nine (509)

Votes for Patsy A. Schell Five hundred seventeen (517)

Votes for _____ ()

Votes for _____ ()

Votes for _____ ()

1,026

