

DRAFT – Agenda
Tuscola County Board of Commissioners
Committee of the Whole – Monday, August 11, 2014 - 7:30 A.M.
HH Purdy Building - 125 W. Lincoln, Caro, MI

Finance

Committee Leaders-Commissioners Trisch and Kirkpatrick

Primary Finance

1. **2015 Budget Development (See A)**
2. **Change in Entity Receiving Hotel Liquor Tax Funds (See B)**
3. **County SWOT Analysis for Region 6 Prosperity Planning (See C)**
4. **New Lease for State Police Building (Previous Information Sent to Commissioners)**
5. **MREC Wind Energy Update (See D)**
6. **Parks and Recreation Planning (Meeting with Parks and Recreation Chair)**
7. **Potential Contract Changes with Zimco**
8. **Update Regarding Potential Acquisition of State Property**
9. **Shared Equalization Director Huron/Tuscola 4-Year Extension**
10. **Preventative Child Care Group Meeting (See E)**
11. **Expediting GIS Implementation by Expanding Finances from Beneficiaries**

On-Going Finance

1. Oil Shale Mining Assessing/Taxation – MAC Involvement
2. Register of Deeds Recording of Wind Project Land Transactions
3. Jail Law Suit
4. Wolverine Update
5. County Web Page and Further Enhancements
6. Indigent Dental Program
7. Abused, Neglect and Delinquent Child Care Costs
8. Project Lifesaver Bracelet
9. SCMCCI Indirect Costs/Lease
10. Next Steps County Solid Waste Management Plan Update
11. Jail Grant Research – Meeting with Eric Koan
12. Medical Examiner System Changes
13. Annex Telephone System Cost Saving Alternative
14. Personnel Property Tax
15. NG911 Multi-County Equipment Purchase

Personnel

Committee Leader-Commissioners Kirkpatrick and Trisch

Primary Personnel

1. **Modification to Motion Regarding Disability Insurance (See F)**
2. **Vacant Prosecutor Position**
3. **Union Negotiations Closed Session 8-14-14 (Previous Information Sent to Commissioners)**
4. **Advertise to Fill Vacancy on County Parks and Recreation Commission**

On-Going Personnel

1. Draft Court and Non-Union Personnel Policies – Labor Attorney Conducting Review – New HR to Review

Building and Grounds

Committee Leader-Commissioners Allen and Bierlein

Primary Building and Grounds

1. List of County Facilities and Values (See G)
2. Implementation of Mosquito Abatement Facility Upgrades

On-Going Building and Grounds

1. Cass River Greenway
2. Dead Ash Trees Roadway Problems
3. Review of Multi-County Approach to Recycling and Need for a Plan

Other Business as Necessary

1. August 18, 2014 MAC 7th District Meeting
2. Letter of Appreciation for Animal Control Appreciation for Animal Welfare (See H)

Public Comment Period

(A)

2015 Budget Development Calendar		
7-Aug	Budget calendar and forms sent electronically to departments	Controller
Aug 8 - Aug 29	Budget forms completed including revenue-expenditure projections for 2014 and 2015	Departments
29-Aug	Deadline to submit budget projections, requests and forms to Controller	Departments
Aug 29 - Sept 5	Department revenue-expenditure requests and projections compiled by Controller for review by Commissioners	Controller
8-Sep	Budget requests submitted to Board for review	Controller
Sept 9 - Sept 19	Controller prepares first draft 2015 budget	Controller
22-Sep	Board reviews first draft balanced 2015 budget - makes changes and approves submittal to departments	Board
23-Sep	First draft 2015 budget sent to departments for review	Controller
Sept 24 - Oct 3	Controller and Board meet with departments as necessary who have remaining budget issues/concerns	Controller/ Board
Oct 4 - Oct 10	Controller prepares second draft 2015 budget	Controller
13-Oct	Board reviews second draft balanced 2015 budget - makes changes and approves submittal to departments	Board
14-Oct	Public hearing newspaper notice prepared/submitted	Controller
18-Oct	Public notice in newspaper	Controller
30-Oct	Public hearing conducted	Board
30-Oct	Final 2015 budget revisions and adoption	Board

MSHN

Mid-State Health Network

**Community Mental
Health Provider
Network**

Bay Arenac
Behavioral Health

•

CMH of
Clinton.Eaton.Ingham
Counties

•

CMH for Central Michigan

•

Gratiot County CMH

•

Huron Behavioral Health

•

Ionia County CMH

•

LifeWays CMH

•

Montcalm Center for
Behavioral Health

•

Newaygo County
Mental Health Center

•

Saginaw County CMH

•

Shiawassee County CMH

•

Tuscola Behavioral
Health Systems

•

Board Officers

Mary K. Anderson
Chairperson

Edward Woods
Vice-Chairperson

James Anderson
Secretary

July 15, 2014

Commissioner Thomas Bardwell
Tuscola County Board of Commissioners
125 W. Lincoln Street
Caro, MI 48723

RE: Substance Use Disorder (SUD) County Agreements – PA 2 Funds

Dear Chairman Bardwell,

Mid-State Health Network (MSHN) is a Pre-Paid Inpatient Health Plan/Regional Entity and new Medicaid Managed Care Organization for Michigan's behavioral health and substance use disorder services for twenty-one (21) counties, including Arenac, Bay, Clare, Clinton, Eaton, Gladwin, Gratiot, Hillsdale, Huron, Ingham, Ionia, Isabella, Jackson, Mecosta, Midland, Montcalm, Newaygo, Osceola, Saginaw, Shiawassee and Tuscola.

MSHN, along with the current Coordinating Agency for the county of Tuscola, Riverhaven Coordinating Agency, and the Community Mental Health Service Provider partner, Tuscola Behavioral Health, would like to request your assistance in redirecting the payment of PA 2 Liquor Tax funds to MSHN. This request is to be effective with Tuscola County's PA 2 Liquor Tax receipts dated October 1, 2014 and after, in accordance with Public Act 500 of 2012 and Public Act 2 of 1986. PA Liquor Tax funds Tuscola County receives through September 30, 2014 would continue to be directed to Riverhaven Coordinating Agency.

* MSHN respectfully requests you forward this request for payment of PA 2 Liquor Tax funds, and any associated County contractual requirements, to the appropriate County staff. It is our intent to complete banking transaction setup, contractual agreements (if required by the County), and invoicing instructions (if required by the County) prior to September 1, 2014. Tuscola County's designee may contact me at 517.253.7546 or pam.keyes@midstatehealthnetwork.org for coordination of the banking transaction and other arrangements as appropriate.

Thank you for your prompt attention and consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

Pamela Keyes, MBA
Chief Financial Officer

PK/ma

CC: Riverhaven Coordination Agency
Tuscola Behavioral Health

**Mike Hoagland**

From: Mike Hoagland [mhoagland@tuscolacounty.org]
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 8:22 AM
To: Bardwell Thom (bardwellthomas1@gmail.com); Bierlein Matthew (mbierlein@tuscolacounty.org); Kirkpatrick Craig (ckirkpatrick@tuscolacounty.org); Roger Allen (beetman95@yahoo.com); Trisch Christine (ctrisch@tuscolacounty.org)
Cc: Erickson Steve (edcdirector@yahoo.com); Erickson Steve (tuscolacountyedc@yahoo.com)
Subject: Region 6 Prosperity Planning
Attachments: SWOT.doc

Commissioners

George Erickcek from the Upjohn Institute is the lead planner preparing the Region 6 Prosperity Plan. He scheduled a meeting to meet with me on August 12, 2014 at 1:00 P.M. to discuss strengths, weakness, opportunities and threats (SWOT) regarding economic development in Tuscola County. Commissioners Kirkpatrick and Bierlein have also been invited to the meeting because of their involvement in this process.

Please review the first draft SWOT list that I prepared. It would then be helpful if you include any additions or deletions by August 11, 2014 so I can incorporate them for the meeting on August 12, 2014. I am trying to make sure Tuscola has good input into this important process. The more of us that are involved the better.

Mike

Michael R. Hoagland
Tuscola County/Controller Administrator
125 W. Lincoln
Caro, MI. 48723
989-672-3700
mhoagland@tuscolacounty.org

8/6/2014

DRAFT

SWOT

Strengths

1. Consistent wind – most wind turbines in Michigan in the Thumb Counties and potential for more
2. New wind energy minimum standard under review by state
3. Agriculture – prime farmland – one of the highest quality agricultural valleys in the world
4. Significant number of organic farms
5. Dairy Farmers of America plant and job growth under construction
6. Reasonable housing costs
7. Hydraulic Fracturing – large number of land leases
8. County finances properly managed – balanced budgets – stability – recent bond rating upgrade to AA
9. Great Lakes – Saginaw Bay – recreation opportunities – walleye fishery – state land
10. Medical Care Facility and other senior complexes (Lighthouse and other specialty care facilities) – may be part of future economic development strategy – Cass City senior complex
11. Full state revenue sharing funding for 2015
12. Opportunity for place building – parks and recreation plan update – acquisition of land from the state
13. Thumb County Commissioner and staff meetings are conducted on a quarterly to discuss economic development and other areas of interest to county government

Weaknesses

1. Lack of a clear and concise economic development strategy – have not identified emerging opportunities and strategies
2. Not sure what the private sector needs for economic development and job creation in the area
3. County Economic Development Corporation may be inadequately funded
4. Educational attainment – below state and national averages
5. Lack of job opportunities – youth leaving – “brain drain”
6. Aggressive “place building” is lacking especially to attract younger generation
7. Poor method of assessing/taxing Hydraulic Fracturing – need model like Pennsylvania PA 13)
8. Population losses – school enrollment down
9. Not close to an Interstate Highway
10. Not enough state investment in infrastructure – roads/bridges
11. Long winters – stigma of being in the so called “Rust Belt”
12. County tax base is flat other than wind revenue
13. May not be communicating effectively with the private sector
14. Aging Caro Regional Facility for patients with mental disabilities – 400 jobs – do not know if state will invest to maintain
15. Closure of Camp Tuscola prison – no re-use determined
16. May not be doing enough to foster and support entrepreneurship
17. May need to strengthen connection to the Michigan Economic Development Corporation

Opportunities

1. More value added agriculture potential need to work with Michigan State University
2. Strengthen place making to establish the area as a destination
3. Proximity to Saginaw Bay and Great Lakes – major natural resources – need to market

4. Increased wind and other renewable energy development and tax base growth
5. Large senior population in southern Michigan – need strategy to attract to the area
6. Have not identified emerging opportunities and strategies
7. Not sure what the private sector needs from public sector to create economic development
8. Need to attract senior wealth to area
9. Consider becoming a technology hub for government in the Thumb area
10. Determine how to capitalize on the proximity to Frankenmuth and the large numbers of people attracted to this area – determine how to partner
11. Increased export potentials – agricultural and other products

Threats

1. Lack of education attainment
2. Inadequate transportation and infrastructure system
3. Foreign and other state competition
4. Youth out migration
5. Future of Ethanol and Sugar beet production in question
6. Asian Carp in the Great Lakes
7. Climatic impacts on agricultural production

Region 6 Prosperity Plan

Interview Protocol: Service Providers

Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. Our interview should last no longer than 60 minutes. Before we begin, please let me give you a brief background on the reason for this interview.

You are probably familiar with the Governor's Regional Prosperity Initiative (RPI), which is a competitive grant process to encourage local private, public, and non-profit partners to work together for the betterment of the regional economy. As a part of this Initiative, the state was divided into 10 regions and you are in Region 6, which includes Genesee, Huron, Lapeer, Sanilac, Shiawassee, St. Clair, and Tuscola counties.

The Upjohn Institute has been selected to lead the process in the development of a Regional Prosperity Plan (RPP) for Region 6. Honestly, we see our task as the development of an "economic and workforce development outreach and response system" for the region. This interview is part of our "Listening Tour," the first step in the planning process. We will be speaking to as many as 40 representatives from the region's economic development, workforce development, education, and transportation planning organizations during this phase of the planning process. We are making every effort to speak with people and organizations in these sectors across the seven counties to engage as many voices as possible.

At the same time, work is underway in the development of the Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) for much of the region. While the purpose of the CEDS is to ensure that the region's economic development projects receive proper consideration for funding by the Economic Development Administration (EDA), there is strong overlap between the two activities. We are working closely with the CEDS planning team to ensure that our efforts are complementary and not duplicative.

We see the RPP planning process as an opportunity for partnering organizations to identify their role within the region and to construct a regional plan or system that would enable them to reach their economic potential.

Do you have any questions before we begin?

Date of Interview:	
Name:	
Title:	
Organization:	

1. Please describe the mission and host of services your agency provides. What is your service area and who are the customers you serve? Does your organization have a strategic short-term or long-term plan that you can share with us?

2. What are the major challenges that you face in meeting the needs of your customers?

3. If you had the opportunity and resources to change one component of your agency's ability to provide services, what would it be?

4. In your opinion, what are the major economic strengths and challenges facing the businesses and residents of Region 6 – Genesee, Huron, Lapeer, Sanilac, Shiawassee, St. Clair, and Tuscola counties?

5. What do you feel are the region's greatest assets?

6. For each of the following activities, name the institutions or agencies that you work with. Do you meet on a formal or regular basis? Do you meet only on an as-needed project basis, and if so can you describe the last two projects?

Organization/Activities	Organization	Regular meetings? Y/N	Project basis? Y/N	On board of organization? Y/N
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT				
<i>Project #1 Describe:</i>				
<i>Project #2 Describe:</i>				
WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT				
<i>Project #1 Describe:</i>				
<i>Project #2 Describe:</i>				
EDUCATION				
<i>Project #1 Describe:</i>				
<i>Project #2 Describe:</i>				
TRANSPORTATION ISSUES				
<i>Project #1 Describe:</i>				
<i>Project #2 Describe:</i>				
SOCIAL SERVICES				
<i>Project #1 Describe:</i>				
<i>Project #2 Describe:</i>				

SUMMARY OF REGION 6 – REGIONAL PROSPERITY MEETING

The Region 6 Regional Prosperity Steering Committee met at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, July 24, 2014, at the Lapeer County Health Department.

PRESENT: Chairperson Carl Osentoski, Vice-Chairperson John Barsalou, Bernice Kerner, Carmine Avantini, Cheryl Clark, Dan Casey, Deb Loader, Denise Belt, Donna Burmeister, Dyle Henning, Janice Karcher, John Hoffmann, John Nugant, Justin Horvath, Keely Mounger, Kristina Johnston, Marvin Pichla, Mary Ann Ketels, Nate Scramlin, Robert McLaren, Scott Corrin, Sidney Grinell, Stephen Erickson, Thomas Crampton, Tricia Walding, George Erickcek, Derek Bradshaw, Anna Pinter and Alberta Gunsell

Chairperson Osentoski called the meeting to order at 10:04 a.m.

I. Introductions

Committee members introduced themselves.

II. Forecasting and Visioning using the Region 6 REMI model

Mr. George Erickcek reviewed the agenda for the meeting and presented topics for discussion.

Discussion was held on where is the next generation of farmers coming from. The average age of farmers from 1992 to 2012 were reviewed. All of the seven counties showed current farmers were ages 56–58 years old.

A chart was reviewed showing a breakdown of the principal occupation of farm managers in the seven counties. This chart showed that between 44% & 51% of farmers has a non-farming principal occupation. Computer technology is being used greatly in the farming community over the past several years.

Agricultural production was discussed. Yields of grains have increased dramatically within the last ten years. An example is that in the past an acre of land would produce 150 bushels, and now an acre of land is producing 300 bushels. The man hours of farming is less now with larger yields being produced. This is allowing farmers to have other occupations along with farming.

Commuting patterns were reviewed for the Region 6 area. The chart showed the employed workforce by county of residency and if working in the Thumb area, the I-69 Corridor or outside Region 6. It was suggested

that the I-69 corridor section needs to be broken down into within their county or outside their county of residency.

Mr. George Erickcek explained the REMI forecasting model vs other modeling tools. There will be two models done; one for the thumb area and one for the I-69 corridor. Future forecasting is extremely difficult. In the future schools are going to be smaller and will struggle. There will be a large demand on assisted living as the baby boomers get older. Also will the younger generation have the experience to replace the current workers as they retire? Population & Employment forecasts were reviewed.

III. Update on Listening Tour

Mr. George Erickcek gave an update on the listening tour. The update included communities that have had listening sessions and communities that will be having listening sessions. It is felt that the listening session have been extremely information and helpful.

IV. Focus Group Discussion – Review of the expected final product

Mr. George Erickcek lead a brain storming session on what alternative futures the committee would like to see used in the REMI model.

- ↓ If farm productivity was increased by 1% or 2% how does that affect the outcome
- ↓ If local purchasing increased what would the impact be
- ↓ Are there ways for the private sectors to use the shoreline for other means
- ↓ The new water pipeline, is there a way to forecast development that would use raw water for industrial use, food processing, coolant for business and irrigation
- ↓ Transportation jobs to Canada due to the I-69 corridor
- ↓ Economic impact for exporting
- ↓ Wind farms and the impact on tourism
- ↓ On to integrate coordinated services for the region

The next meeting is scheduled for August 14, 2014 from 10:30 a.m. at Goodland Township Hall.

Meeting adjourned at 11:40 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Alberta Gunsell, Secretary

**Region 6 - Regional Prosperity Initiative
Steering Committee Meeting
Thursday, August 14, 2014
10:30 a.m.**

AGENDA

- I. Introductions
- II. County Spotlight
- III. Alternative Forecast Scenarios
 - a. Agriculture
 - i. Processing facilities
 - ii. Gains in productivity
 - b. Impact of local purchasing
 - c. Tourism
 - d. Logistic Center on I-69
- IV. Potential impacts of targeted industry
- V. Measuring the importance of the Detroit MSA on the region
- VI. Update on ongoing Listening Tour—what we have heard so far
- VII. Regional Prosperity Status Update
- VIII. Next Steps - September 11th meeting

Michigan Renewable Energy Committee

August 5, 2014 Points of Discussion

1. Some energy company appeals have been settled in other Michigan counties with wind turbines – Consumers, DTE
2. Nextera appealed the wind project in Gilford Townships (Tuscola Wind 1) based their appeal on the federal wind energy 1603 grant – \$68 million federal grant
3. Interestingly Nextera did not appeal Tuscola Wind 2 in Fairgrove – this project did not receive the federal cash called 1603 grants – Nextera is hinging their Gilford appeal on 1603 grants
4. Nextera argues should reduce value because receive federal grant
5. Our lawyers disagree and remain optimistic will win case but a situation exactly like this has never been tested in the courts may take 2 years or more to play out – MREC believe how it was finances has nothing to do with its true cash value
6. At this point our lawyers believe Nextera will proceed with their appeal to the Tax Tribunal and courts
7. Many other 1603 projects around the country but the federal program has now ended
8. Maybe we should ask federal officials to intervene and rule that the cash grants do not decrease the true cash value of wind projects
9. Need to keep escrowing funds for the Gilford project
10. Nextera has started exploring the possibility of a third project in Tuscola County
11. State Senator Noff has a Renewable Energy Work Group – information gathering – will be looking at new minimum renewable energy standard may be some decisions probably 2015 – Huron EDC Director Carl Osentoski represents MREC on the Work Group



Mike Hoagland

From: Southgate, Karen L. (DHS) [SouthgateK@michigan.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 7:59 AM
To: Mike Hoagland (mhoagland@tuscolacounty.org); karen.easterling@baker.edu
Subject: FW: An Invitation from Judge Nancy L. Thane

*Karen Southgate, Program Manager
 Tuscola / Huron County DHS
 1365 Cleaver Rd.
 Caro, MI 48723
southgatek@michigan.gov
 989 673 9130*

“You are not only responsible for what you say, but also for what you do not say.” Martin Luther

From: Nancy Thane [mailto:nthane@tuscolacounty.org]
Sent: Tuesday, August 05, 2014 3:29 PM
To: alexmiller@bwcil.org; tuscolacac@aol.com; aadams@tuscolaisd.org; 'Angie Pearcy'; 'Ann Hepfer'; 'April Schmidt'; 'Becky Hassler'; 'Ben Guile'; 'Betsy Dillon'; 'Brenda Caruthers'; 'Cathy Savoie'; 'Craig Kirkpatrick'; 'Denise Bentley'; 'Doug Hall'; 'Gene Pierce'; 'George Rierson'; 'Gretchen Tenbusch'; Waller, Irene (DHS); 'Jackie Roe'; 'Jamie Fritz'; 'Janeen Mills'; 'Jeff Hartel'; Shores, Jennifer (DHS); 'Jodi Fetting'; 'Julie Bash'; Southgate, Karen L. (DHS); 'Kari Gulvas'; 'Keith Wetters'; Rupe, Kerri (DHS); Nowlen, Laura (DHS); 'Laurel Barnovitz'; 'Lisa Meyer'; 'Lori Calery'; 'Lori Offenbacher'; 'Marc Augsburg'; 'Mark Reene'; 'Matt Drake'; 'Mike Bearden'; 'Mike Joslyn'; 'Nancy Laethem'; 'Peg Davey'; 'Priscilla Klish'; 'Richard Dunham'; 'Rick Watkins'; 'Ruth Steele'; 'Shannon Lavoie-Thompson'; 'Sharon Beals'; 'Sheila Long'; 'Stephanie Geiger'; 'Steve Erickson'; 'Steve Ley'; 'Susan Rickwalt-Holder'; 'Susan Walker'; 'Teresa Buggia'; 'Todd Cramer'; 'Tom Palmer'
Cc: jdiegel@tuscolacounty.org
Subject: An Invitation from Judge Nancy L. Thane

Over the past year, several community partners have been evaluating the work that we are doing in Tuscola County. We have found that many of us work with families and children **after** something has gone terribly wrong in the home. We are dealing with truancy, substance abuse, child abuse and neglect, delinquency, mental health issues, child support, rapidly changing technology, etc. Often, the situations we address could have been prevented.

This is a community issue. There are ways to **prevent** many of these things from occurring. We are not interested in developing a program that will disappear when the funding is gone. We want to create a complete cultural shift. We want to empower children and families to make better and more educated choices. We want to see children put first.

We have discovered that there are evidence-based programs that work. There is funding available. And there are Tuscola County residents willing to step up and make a difference. You are receiving this email because we believe **YOU** can be part of the solution, the difference.

On August 28, 2014 at 3:00pm at the Tuscola Technology Center, Commons Room, 1401 Cleaver Rd, Caro, MI, we will be meeting to formulate a plan to bring about a cultural shift in Tuscola County. It's a “could have/should have/would have” discussion. We would truly appreciate your input and look forward to your attendance.

If you are unable to attend and have ideas or feedback to share, please send them to Karen Southgate, Tuscola County Department of Human Services, at southgatek@michigan.gov. Please RSVP to Karen Southgate southgatek@michigan.gov by 8/25/14.

If you know of someone else that should be invited to this meeting, please forward this information.*
(See list below.)

Thank you and we look forward to seeing you on August 28th!

Sincerely,

Hon. Nancy L. Thane, P38918 Probate/Family Court Judge

*LIST:

Name	Email
Alex Miller	alexmiller@bwcil.org
Amber Spencer	tuscolacac@aol.com
Angila Adams	aadams@tuscolaisd.org
Angie Pearcy	pearcya@sisd.cc
Ann Hepfer	ahepfer@tchd.us
April Schmidt	caropregnancycenter@gmail.com
Becky Hassler	beckyh@hdc-caro.org
Ben Guile	bguile@cityofvassar.org
Betsy Dillon	bdillon@tuscolaisd.org
Brenda Caruthers	executivedirector@carochamber.org
Cathy Savoie	csavoie@tuscolaisd.org
Craig Kirkpatrick	ckirkpatrick@tuscolacounty.org
Denise Bentley	bentleyd@nemcsa.org
Doug Hall	doughall@centurytel.net
Gene Pierce	gpierce@tuscolaisd.org
George Rierson	riersong@usak12.org
Gretchen Tenbusch	gtenbusch@tchd.us
Irene Bazan Waller	WallerI@michigan.gov
Jackie Roe	Jackieroe2@yahoo.com
Jamie Fritz	revive@casscitymc.org
Janeen Mills	jamills@tuscolaisd.org
Jeff Hartel	jhartel@casscity.k12.mi.us
Jennifer Shores	ShoresJ@michigan.gov
Jodi Fetting	jfetting@tuscolacounty.org
Julie Bash	bashj@sisd.cc
Karen Southgate	southgatek@michigan.gov
Kari Gulvas	kgulvas@babha.org
Keith Wetters	kwetters@reese.k12.mi.us
Kerri Rupe	RupeK1@michigan.gov
Laura Nowlen	NowlenL@michigan.gov
Laurel Barnovitz	BarnovitzL@nemcsa.org
Lisa Meyer	lisam@hdc-caro.org
Lori Calery	lcalery@tuscolaisd.org
Lori Offenbacher	lorio@hdc-caro.org
Marc Augsburger	marc@cch-mi.org
Mark Reese	mreene2011@gmail.com
Matt Drake	mdrake@kingstonk12.org
Mike Bearden	mikebearden@centurytel.net
Mike Joslyn	mjoslyn@caro.k12.mi.us

Nancy Laethem	nlaethem@listpsych.com
Nancy Thane	nthane@tuscolacounty.org
Peg Davey	peggyd@hdc-caro.org
Priscilla Klish	priscillasmallwonders@yahoo.com
Richard Dunham	dunhamd@mayville.k12.mi.us
Rick Watkins	rwatkins@nlcfi.net
Ruth Steele	LEADtuscola@gmail.com
Shannon Lavoie-Thompson	slavoie-thompson@tbhs.net
Sharon Beals	sebeals@tbhs.net
Sheila Long	slong@tuscolacounty.org
Stephanie Geiger	geigers@nemcsa.org
Steve Erickson	edcdirector@yahoo.com
Steve Ley	sley@a-f.k12.mi.us
Susan Rickwalt-Holder	srrickwalt@tbhs.net
Susan Walker	susaneawalker@gmail.com
Teresa Buggia	tbuggia@hotmail.com
Todd Cramer	usacampuslife@gmail.com
Tom Palmer	tpalmer@vassar.k12.mi.us

Move that the first statement below which is in the 7/31/14 Board of Commissioners minutes be replaced with the second statement to clarify that long term disability coverage is still available without coverage changes but through a different carrier:

- Life and Disability Insurance Proposal – Unum decided to no longer offer the long term coverage for the deputies. Dan Skiver quoted a better coverage program through Cigna.
- Life and Disability Insurance Proposal – The current carrier decided to no longer offer long term disability coverage for certain classes of employees. Dan Skiver (county agent) obtained a quote from another company for the same coverage with a cost reduction.

Mike Hoagland

From: Mike Hoagland [mhoagland@tuscolacounty.org]
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2014 4:00 PM
To: Bierlein Matthew (mbierlein@tuscolacounty.org); Kirkpatrick Craig (ckirkpatrick@tuscolacounty.org); Roger Allen (beetman95@yahoo.com); Tom Bardwell (tbardwell@hillsanddales.com); Trisch Christine (ctrisch@tuscolacounty.org)
Cc: Mike Miller (Mike Miller)
Subject: FW: Building List
Attachments: Tuscola County Buildings - Employees.pdf

Commissioners

At the last Board meeting a request was made for a list of all county buildings and which departments are located in respective buildings along with the number of staff by building. (See attached list).

A few years ago major investments were made related to solving increasing county office space needs. Office space problems were solved by major remodeling in the Courthouse, FOC and Annex Buildings along with purchase of the Purdy Building. The county borrowed the funds to purchase the Purdy Building and still owes approximately \$900,000 in bond repayments. Other remodeling was accomplished through the expenditure of over \$600,000 from the capital improvement fund.

Several times in the past the public was asked for financial support to add on to the Courthouse to consolidate services. All of these requests were rejected.

Mike

Michael R. Hoagland
Tuscola County/Controller Administrator
125 W. Lincoln
Caro, MI. 48723
989-672-3700
mhoagland@tuscolacounty.org

From: Clayette Zechmeister [mailto:zclay@tuscolacounty.org]
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2014 2:57 PM
To: Mike Hoagland
Subject: Building List

Mike,
Per commissioners request, here is the Building and employees per building list.
I also added the Acquired and Insured Values.
Thanks
Clayette

--
Clayette A. Zechmeister
Chief Accountant, Tuscola County

8/6/2014

Tuscola County Building List

8/1/2014

Description	Address	Placed in Service	Acquired Value	Insured		Department	Employee Count
				Replacement Value			
Courthouse	440 N State St	1/31/1933	\$ 178,000.00	\$ 8,279,800.00		Judicial/FOC/Clerk	35
Jail	420 Court St	12/31/1966	\$ 512,937.00	\$ 6,186,000.00		Corrections/Road Patrol Emgcy Svcs/Crime Victim	41
Annex	207 E Grant St	10/31/1975	\$ 221,000.00	\$ 1,059,300.00		Prosecutor/ISD	13
MSU Extension	362 Green St	3/31/1986	\$ 71,227.72	\$ 418,500.00		Provided Space for MSU	N/A
Adult Probation Bldg	449 Green St.	10/31/1997	\$ 135,000.00	\$ 548,600.00		Provide Space for State Employee	N/A
Dispatch	1303 Cleaver Rd	6/30/1998	\$ 558,487.07	\$ 3,119,700.00		Dispatch	12
State Police	1365 Cleaver Rd	12/31/2000	\$ 1,601,909.40	\$ 1,639,700.00		Leased State Police	N/A
Mosquito Abatement	1500 Press Rd	3/31/1997	\$ 128,000.00	\$ 574,500.00		Mosquito	2FT/26 Seasonal
Recycling Facility	1123 Mertz Rd	1/31/1996	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 233,600.00		Recycling	5
Pole Building	1350 Luder Rd	12/31/2003	\$ 34,260.58	\$ 190,100.00		Storage/Maint Staff	3FT/9 PT
Storage Shed/Garage	222 W. Grant St	12/31/1994	\$ 109,153.98	\$ 310,300.00		Storage/Mechanic	1
Health Dept	1309 Cleaver Rd	12/31/1990	\$ 1,226,782.76	\$ 3,360,500.00		Leased Health Dept	N/A
DHS	1313 Cleaver Rd	12/31/1987	\$ 1,066,370.71	\$ 2,205,600.00		Leased DHS	N/A
Animal Shelter	2738 W Caro Rd	12/31/1977	\$ 100,926.00	\$ 339,300.00		Animal Control	N/A
Purdy Building **	125 W. Lincoln St.	10/3/2011	\$ 945,402.00	\$ 945,402.00		Controller/Treasurer/Deeds Drain/Equalization Board of Commissioners	27
**Appraisal not included in 2011			\$ 6,901,957.22	\$ 29,410,902.00			

8-5-14 Dear Commissioners: This note ^(H)
is to THANK YOU for addressing animal
welfare. This widow is truly grateful
for Animal Control program. I want to
heartily commend the current staff
for their genuine care and compassion
in fulfilling challenging and heart-
wrenching duties. I'm sure their
interaction with the public is very
frustrating and discouraging at times.
Many individuals can be unusually
demanding and irresponsible in
their selfish expectations.

Daily, I am personally
grateful to Danielle for her
assistance, my rescue dog,
Emma is a loving companion who
shares my heart and home, filling
otherwise lonely hours! How very
awesome to see the WALL full of
pictures of successful adoptions of
dogs & cats entrusted to their care.
I feel privileged to share my
personal experience and benefit of
their untiring efforts in a difficult job.
Please do acknowledge Danielle + Dorne
for their caring service!!
Betty Galke
Care